arXiv:1406.0219v4 [hep-th] 16 Feb 2015

Pair production of Dirac particles in a $d+1$-dimensional noncommutative space-time

Dine Ousmane Samary*
Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5, Canada and International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA-UNESCO Chair), University of Abomey-Calavi, 072B.P.50, Cotonou, Republic of Benin

Emanonfi Elias N'Dolo† and Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou‡
International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA-UNESCO Chair), University of Abomey-Calavi, 072B.P.50, Cotonou, Republic of Benin

(Dated: Tuesday 17th February, 2015)

This work addresses the computation of the propability of fermionic particle pair production in $(d+1)-$ dimensional noncommutative Moyal space. Using the Seiberg-Witten maps that establish relations between noncommutative and commutative field variables, up to the first order in the noncommutative parameter θ, we derive the probability density of vacuum-vacuum pair production of Dirac particles. The cases of constant electromagnetic, alternating time-dependent and space-dependent electric fields are considered and discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Noncommutative field theory (NCFT), arising from noncommutative (NC) geometry, has been the subject of intense studies, owing to its importance in the description of quantum gravity phenomena. More precisely, the concepts of noncommutativity in fundamental physics have deep motivations which originated from the fundamental properties of the Snyder space-time [1]. Further, the results by Connes, Woronowicz and Drinfeld [2–4] provided a clear definition of NC geometry, thus bringing a new stimulus in this area. The NC geometry arises as a possible scenario for the short-distance behaviour of physical theories (i. e. the Planck length scale $\lambda_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} \approx 1,6 \cdot 10^{-35}$ meters), see [5–7] and references therein. This fundamental unit of length marks the scale of energies and distances at which the non-locality of interactions has to appear and a notion of continuous space-time becomes meaningless [5, 6, 8]. One of the important implications of noncommutativity is the Lorentz violation symmetry in more than two dimensional space-time [9–11], which, in part, modifies the dispersion relations [12]. It led to new developments in quantum electrodynamics (QED) and Yang-Mills (YM) theories in the NC variable function versions [14, 15]. The same observation appears in the framework of string theory [16, 22]. Also, the quantum Hall effect well illustrates the NC quantum mechanics of space-time [23, 24] (and references therein).

In this work, we use a NC star product obtained by replacing the ordinary product of functions by the Moyal star product as follows:

$$f \star g = \mathbf{m}\Big[\exp\Big(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\mu \otimes \partial_\nu\Big)(f \otimes g)\Big], \quad (1)$$

where $f,\, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^D)$, $\mathbf{m}(f \otimes g) = f \cdot g$; $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ stands for a skew-symmetric tensor characterizing the NC behaviour of the space-time, and has the Planck's length square dimension, i.e. $[\theta] \equiv [\lambda_p^2]$. The star product (1) satisfies the useful integral relation

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^D x\,(f \star g)(x) &= \int d^D x\,(g \star f)(x) \\ &= \int d^D x\, f(x)\, g(x). \quad (2)\end{aligned}$$

It provides the following commutation relation between the coordinate functions:

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star = x^\mu \star x^\nu - x^\nu \star x^\mu = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad x^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^D. \quad (3)$$

For convenience, we write the tensor $(\theta^{\mu\nu})$ in the following form:

$$(\theta^{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\theta & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \theta \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

The relation (4) means that the time does not commute with NC spatial coordinates and the dimension D of the NC space-time is even. Recall that two main problems arise when one tries to implement the electromagnetism in a NC geometry: the

*Electronic address: dsamary@perimeterinstitute.ca
†Electronic address: emanonfieliasndolo@yahoo.fr
‡Electronic address: norbert.hounkonnou@cipma.uac.bj

loss of causality due to the appearance of derivative couplings in the Lagrangian density and, more fundamentally, the violation of Lorentz invariance exhibited by plane wave solutions [12, 13].

Like in ordinary quantum mechanics, the NC coordinates satisfy the coordinate-coordinate version of the Heisenberg uncertainty relation, namely $\Delta x^\mu \Delta x^\nu \geq \theta$, and then make the space-time a quantum space. This idea leads to the concept of quantum gravity, since quantizing space-time leads to quantizing gravity. Apart from the overall results about QED and YM theory in NC space-time, it turns out to be important to understand how noncommutativity modifies the probability of pair production of fermionic particles. This is the task we shall deal with in this work.

A pair production refers to the creation of an elementary particle and its antiparticle, usually when a neutral boson interacts with a nucleus or another boson. Nevertheless a static electric field in an empty space can create electron-positron pairs. This effect, called the Schwinger effect [25], is currently on the verge of being experimentally verified. Recently, the vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude and its probability density were computed in four, three and two dimensional space-time within constant and alternating electromagnetic (EM) fields ([26]-[34] and reference therein). The related questions have been discussed and gained considerable attention in the researchers community.

In this work, we provide the NC version of pair production of Dirac particles. Specially, we derive the exact expression for the probability density of particle production by an external field. This establishes a relation with important analytical results which were previously obtained in the ordinary space-time, spread in the literature [25, 26, 28–30, 34]. In particular, the case of $(d+1)$-dimensional space-time which have been derived in [26] and [34] are extended in noncommutative case.

The paper is organized as follows. In section (II), we quickly review the Seiberg-Witten maps giving a relation between NC field variables and commutative ones [16, 19, 20]. Here we also expose the main result about gauge theory in NC space, that allows us to write the NC Lagrangian density of the Dirac particle (oupling to EM field) with the commutative field variables. In section (III) we compute the probability density of pair production of a Dirac particle in constant EM fields. In Section (IV) we give the discussions and the comments of our result. This section also contains a similar analysis in the case of an alternating (EM) field. In Section (V), we conclude and made some useful remarks. Appendices (A) and (B) are enriched by the proofs of key theorems set in the main part of this paper.

II. NC GAUGE THEORY AND SEYBERG-WITTEN MAPS

Like in an ordinary space-time, a gauge theory can be defined on a NC space-time [17]. In the sequel, the NC variables are denoted with a "hat" notation. Let $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ be a Moyal algebra of functions and $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ be the covariant coordinate expressed in terms of gauge potential $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ as:

$$\hat{X} = \hat{x} + \hat{A}. \tag{5}$$

For an arbitrary function $\hat{\psi} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, the infinitesimal gauge transformation with parameter $\hat{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ is $\hat{\delta}\hat{\psi} = i\hat{\Lambda} \star \hat{\psi}$. The infinitesimal variation of the gauge potential can be written as

$$\hat{\delta}_{\hat{\Lambda}} \hat{A}^\mu = i[\hat{\Lambda}, \hat{A}^\mu]_\star - i[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{\Lambda}]_\star. \tag{6}$$

Also the NC Faraday tensor is given by

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu - i[\hat{A}_\mu, \hat{A}_\nu]_\star. \tag{7}$$

Its infinitesimal variation is

$$\hat{\delta}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = i[\hat{\lambda}, \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}]_\star. \tag{8}$$

Besides, the functional action for a Dirac particle on NC space-time can be defined as follows:

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} d^D x \, \mathcal{L}(\hat{\bar{\psi}}, \hat{\psi}), \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\bar{\psi}}, \hat{\psi}) = \hat{\bar{\psi}} \star i\gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu \hat{\psi} - m\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star \hat{\psi}. \tag{10}$$

In this expression $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\bar{\psi}}$ are the Dirac spinor and its associated Hermitian conjugate, respectively. The γ's are the Dirac matrices which satisfy the Clifford algebra: $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, and are given explicitly in terms of Pauli matrices σ^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, by:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1_2 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

The covariant derivative $\hat{D}_\mu$ is expressed as:

$$\hat{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu - i\hat{A}_\mu \star . \tag{12}$$

We choose $\hbar = c = 1$ and take the charge of particle equal to the unit value, i.e. $q_e = 1$. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi}, \psi)$ describes the propagation of the massive fermion (electron in this case) and their interaction with photons via the covariant derivative $\hat{D}_\mu$. In this work, we treat in detail the case when the dimension of the space-time is equal to $D = 3+1$. The results for the cases where, $D = 1+1$, and, more generally, $D = d+1$, computed in a similar way, are given. Note that, despite the singularity exibits by

the matrix $(\theta^{\mu\nu})$ in the case of odd dimensions, the probability of pair production is well defined with the same analysis.

In what follows we give the Seiberg-Witten maps at the first order of perturbation in θ [16, 19, 20]. We write the NC field variables as function of commutative variables:

$$\hat{\psi} = \psi - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}A_\kappa(\partial_\lambda + D_\lambda)\psi \tag{13}$$

$$\hat{\bar{\psi}} = \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}A_\kappa(\partial_\lambda + D_\lambda)\bar{\psi} \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}\{A_\kappa, \partial_\lambda A_\mu + F_{\lambda\mu}\}. \tag{15}$$

By substituting the expressions (13), (14) and (15) in the action (9), we get, at the first order in θ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi},\psi) = \\
&i\gamma^\mu\Big[\bar{\psi}(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\bar{\psi}\partial_\beta(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi \\
&-\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\partial_\mu\Big(A_\alpha(\partial_\beta + D_\beta)\psi\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\partial_\alpha A_\mu\partial_\beta\psi \\
&+\frac{i}{4}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}A_\mu A_\alpha(\partial_\beta + D_\beta)\psi + \frac{i}{4}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}\bar{\psi}\{A_\kappa, \partial_\lambda A_\mu \\
&+F_{\lambda\mu}\}\psi - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\kappa\lambda}A_\kappa(\partial_\lambda + D_\lambda)\bar{\psi}(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi\Big] \\
&- m\Big[\bar{\psi}\psi + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}\partial_\nu\psi - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\mu\nu}\bar{\psi}A_\mu(\partial_\nu + D_\nu)(\psi) \\
&-\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\mu\nu}A_\mu(\partial_\nu + D_\nu)(\bar{\psi})\psi\Big] + O(\theta^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In the commutative limit i.e. $\theta \to 0$, we recover, as expected, the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_C$ of a Dirac field in an ordinary space-time associated to the functional action $\mathcal{S}[\psi,\bar{\psi},A]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}[\psi,\bar{\psi},A] &= \int d^D x\, \mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi},\psi) \\
&= \int d^D x\, \Big(\mathcal{L}_C(\bar{\psi},\psi) + \mathcal{B}(\theta,A,\bar{\psi},\psi)\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the quantity $\mathcal{B}(\theta,A,\bar{\psi},\psi)$ depending on θ is given, after some algebra, by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}(\theta,A,\bar{\psi},\psi) = i\gamma^\mu\theta^{\kappa\lambda}\bar{\psi}\Big[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\kappa)\partial_\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\partial_\kappa A_\mu\partial_\lambda + \frac{i}{2}A_\mu A_\kappa\partial_\lambda + \frac{i}{2}A_k\partial_\lambda A_\mu \\
&-\frac{i}{2}A_k\partial_\mu A_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\lambda A_\kappa)\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2}(\partial_\lambda A_k)A_\mu\Big]\psi \\
&-\frac{m\theta^{\kappa\lambda}}{2}\bar{\psi}(\partial_\kappa A_\lambda)\,\psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now by performing the path integral over the background fields ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, the vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ is afforded by the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{Z}(A) = \\
&\mathcal{N}\int D\psi D\bar{\psi}\exp i\Big\{\int d^4x\,\Big(i\gamma^\mu\bar{\psi}(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi \\
&-m\bar{\psi}\psi + \mathcal{B}(\theta,A,\bar{\psi},\psi)\Big)\Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

in which the normalization constant $\mathcal{N}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{Z}(0) = 1$. Note that $\mathcal{B}(\theta,0,1,1) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M} := i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m + \mathcal{B}(\theta,A,1,1) + i\epsilon$. Then, we get a simpler form:

$$\mathcal{Z}(A) = \exp\left[-\operatorname{tr}\ln\frac{i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + i\epsilon}{\mathcal{M}}\right]. \tag{20}$$

Provided with the above quantity, we compute the probability density amplitude $|\mathcal{Z}(A)|^2$ for various electromagnetic fields.

III. TRANSITION AMPLITUDE IN THE CASE OF A CONSTANT EXTERNAL EM FIELD

In this section, we consider the EM field, defined in x direction as $\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{e_x}$ and $\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{e_x}$, $E > 0$ and $B \geq 0$. The position and momentum operators $X_\mu = (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) =: (X_0, X, Y, Z)$ and $P_\mu = i\partial_\mu = (P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3)$ satisfy the commutation relation:

$$[X_\mu, P_\mu] = i\eta_{\mu\nu}. \tag{21}$$

The covariant vector V_μ is expressed with the contra-variant V^μ as $V_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}V^\nu$, where $(\eta) = diag(1,-1,-1,-1)$. The covariant Faraday tensor $F_{\mu\nu} =: \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ can be expressed as:

$$(F_{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ -E_x & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ -E_y & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ -E_z & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

with $A_\mu = (-EX, 0, 0, BY)$. Then, $\mathcal{B}(\theta,A,1,1)$ is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{B}(\theta,A,1,1) = \frac{m\theta}{2}(B-E) + \frac{i\theta}{2}\gamma^\mu\Big[i(E+B)A_\mu \\
&-(E+B)\partial_\mu - iA_\mu(EX\partial_1 + BY\partial_2) - (\partial_1 A_\mu)\partial_0 \\
&+(\partial_2 A_\mu)\partial_3 + \partial_\mu(EX)\partial_1 + \partial_\mu(BY)\partial_2\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Using the charge conjugation matrix $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$, the identity $C\gamma_\mu C^{-1} = -\gamma_\mu^t$, and taking into account the fact that the trace of an operator is invariant under a matrix transposition lead to

$$\mathcal{Z}^t(A) = \exp\left[-\operatorname{tr}\ln\frac{iC\gamma^\mu C^{-1}\partial_\mu + m - i\epsilon}{\mathcal{M}^t}\right], \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{M}^t = iC\gamma^\mu C^{-1}D_\mu + m - \mathcal{B}^t(\theta,A,1,1) - i\epsilon$. The probability density is defined by the module of $Z(A)$ as

$$|\mathcal{Z}(A)|^2 := \exp\Big[-\operatorname{tr}\ln\frac{P^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^t}\Big], \tag{25}$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^t = [\gamma^\mu(P_\mu + A_\mu)]^2 - m^2 - m^2\theta(B-E) + \mathcal{B}\gamma^\mu(P_\mu + A_\mu) - \gamma^\mu(P_\mu + A_\mu)\mathcal{B}^t + i\epsilon. \tag{26}$$

The conjugate of $\mathcal{B}(\theta, A, 1, 1)$, denoted by $\mathcal{B}^t(\theta, A, 1, 1)$, can be then written as:

$$\mathcal{B}^t(\theta, A, 1, 1) = \frac{m\theta}{2}(B-E) + \frac{i\theta}{2}C\gamma^\mu C^{-1} \times\Big[i(E+B)A_\mu - iA_\mu(EX\partial_1 + BY\partial_2) -(E+B)\partial_\mu - (\partial_1 A_\mu)\partial_0 + (\partial_2 A_\mu)\partial_3 +\partial_\mu(EX)\partial_1 + \partial_\mu(BY)\partial_2\Big]. \tag{27}$$

At this point it would be worth using the identity

$$\ln\frac{a+i\epsilon}{b+i\epsilon} = \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}\Big[e^{is(b+i\epsilon)} - e^{is(a+i\epsilon)}\Big] \tag{28}$$

to get

$$\ln\frac{P^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^t} = \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}e^{-is(m^2-i\epsilon)}\times \Big[e^{is[(P+A)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - m^2\theta(B-E) + \mathcal{X}(\theta)]} - e^{isP^2}\Big] \tag{29}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{X}(\theta)$ should be Hermitian. We use the following commutation relations

$$[X^n, P_1] = -niX^{n-1}, \quad [P_1^n, X] = niP^{n-1}, \tag{30}$$

also valid when one replaces X by Y and P_1 by P_2. For an arbitrary operator A, we can define the associated Hermitian operator denoted by A_H as

$$A_H = \frac{(A + A^\dagger)}{2}. \tag{31}$$

From now, the H symbol indexing any operator A, e.g. A_H, refers to the Hermitian operator associated with A. We then have the following:

Proposition 1. *The Hermitian operator associated with $\mathcal{X}(\theta)$, denoted $\mathcal{X}_H(\theta)$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_H(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}\Big[&iEB\gamma^3\gamma^2 + iE^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 + iB^2\gamma^3\gamma^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}i(\gamma^0\gamma^1 + \gamma^3\gamma^2)EB + \gamma^0\gamma^1 EBYP_2 \\ &+ 2E^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 XP_1 + \gamma^0\gamma^3(E^2B - EB^2)XY \\ &- \gamma^1\gamma^3 EBYP_1 - (4E^3 + 3BE^2)X^2 \\ &+ (2B^3 + B^2E)Y^2 + (4E^2 + 5EB)XP_0 \\ &+ (2B^2 + 3EB)YP_3 - 2BP_0^2 + 2BP_1^2 \\ &+ 2EP_2^2 + 2EP_3^2\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Further,

$$\mathcal{X}_H(\theta) = \mathcal{X}_H^\dagger(\theta). \tag{33}$$

Proof. Taking into account the fact that the trace is invariant under matrix transposition, and using the relation (31), the operator $\mathcal{X}_H(\theta)$ takes the given form. ■

Now we focus on the computation of the following quantity:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O} &= \langle\mathbf{x}|e^{is[(P+A)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - m^2\theta(B-E) + \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)]}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - m^2\theta(B-E)}\langle\mathbf{x}|e^{is[(P+A)^2 + \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)]}|\mathbf{x}\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$. We use the relation

$$\big[\gamma(P+A)\big]^2 = (P+A)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{35}$$

and choose the 4-vectors $|\mathbf{x}\rangle = |x_\mu\rangle$ such that $X_\mu|\mathbf{x}\rangle = x_\mu|\mathbf{x}\rangle$. In the momentum representation, we get a similar relation for $P_\mu|\mathbf{k}\rangle = k_\mu|\mathbf{k}\rangle$, and obtain

$$\langle\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\langle\mathbf{x}\,,\,\mathbf{k}\rangle}, \quad \langle\mathbf{x}\,,\,\mathbf{k}\rangle =: \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i k_i. \tag{36}$$

To achieve our goal, we use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula given by

$$\begin{aligned}e^{t(U+V)} &= e^{tU}\ e^{tV} e^{t^2C_2} e^{t^3C_3} e^{t^4C_4}\dots \\ &= e^{tU}\ e^{tV}\prod_{n=2}^\infty e^{t^nC_n}\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where the constants C_n are given by the Zassenhaus formula [37, 38]:

$$C_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{(-1)^n}{j!(n-j)!}ad_V^j\ ad_U^{n-j}V \tag{38}$$

with

$$ad_U V = [U, V],\ ad_U^j V = [U, ad_U^{j-1}V],\ ad_U^0 V = V. \tag{39}$$

Explicitly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}e^{t(U+V)} &= e^{tU}\ e^{tV}\ e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}[U,V]}\ e^{\frac{t^3}{6}(2[V,[U,V]]+[U,[U,V]])} \\ &\times e^{\frac{-t^4}{24}([[[U,V],U],U]+3[[[XU,V],U],V]+3[[[U,V],V],V])}\dots,\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where the exponents of higher order in t are likewise nested. Then, take into account the first approximation of θ in the expansion of all quantities we arrive at the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}e^{is[(P+A)^2 + \mathcal{X}(\theta)]} &= e^{is(P+A)^2}e^{is\mathcal{X}(\theta)}e^{T(\theta)} \\ &= e^{is(P+A)^2}\big(1 + is\mathcal{X}_H(\theta) + T_H(\theta) + O(\theta^2)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where, for $t = is$, $U = (P+A)^2$, and $V = \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)$, we have

$$T_H(\theta) = -\frac{t^2}{2}[U,V]_H + \frac{t^3}{6}([U,[U,V]_H]_H) - \frac{t^4}{24}([[[U,V]_H,U]_H,U]_H) + \cdots . \quad (42)$$

The expectation value of the operator $e^{is[(P+A)^2+\mathcal{X}_H(\theta)]}$ is then evaluated as

$$\langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is[(P+A)^2+\mathcal{X}_H(\theta)]}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \int d\mathbf{y}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{y}\rangle\langle \mathbf{y}|\mathcal{J}(\theta)|\mathbf{x}\rangle, \quad (43)$$

where $\mathcal{J}(\theta) = \Big(1 + is\mathcal{X}_H(\theta) + T_H(\theta)\Big)$. Now after expanding U as

$$U = P_0^2 - P_1^2 - P_2^2 - P_3^2 - 2EP_0X - 2BP_3Y + E^2X^2 - B^2Y^2, \quad (44)$$

we can easily observe that $U = U^\dagger$. As it is the welcome, fortunately, we get the following statement.

Proposition 2. *Let $U = (P+A)^2$, and $V = \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)$. The commutation relations between U and V are vanished, i.e.*

$$[U,V]_H = 0,\ [[[U,V]_H,U]_H,\cdots]_H,U]_H = 0 \quad (45)$$

and therefore $T_H(\theta) = 0$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is simply obtained by using (31) and (32). ■

Finally the quantity $\mathcal{O}$ is reduced to

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_c + \mathcal{O}_{nc}(\theta), \quad \mathcal{O}_{nc}(0) = 0 \quad (46)$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_c = e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}}\langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \quad (47)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{nc}(\theta) = e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}}\int d\mathbf{y}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{y}\rangle \times \langle \mathbf{y}|is\big[m^2\theta(E-B) + \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)\big]|\mathbf{x}\rangle. \quad (48)$$

We then come to the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let $\theta =: \wp\cdot\theta_0$ where $\wp$ is a dimensionless quantity which is bounded by two numbers a_1, and a_2 and such that $\theta_0 << 1$. The mass dimension of θ_0 is obviously $\theta_0 \equiv [M^{-2}]$. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ in which the following integral is convergent:*

$$\int_{M\subset\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{dt}{t_0}dz = b \equiv [M^{-2}]. \quad (49)$$

$t_0 \neq 0$ is arbitrary initial time. The trace of the expectation value $\mathcal{O}$ is given by:

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O} = \Big(1 - \wp - \sigma(\theta_0,E,B)\Big)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}_c, \quad (50)$$

where

$$\sigma(\theta_0,E,B) = \frac{16\pi^3 b\,\wp\exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_0\Big]}{\theta_0^3 s^3 EB}\sqrt{\frac{B}{E}f(E,B)}, \quad (51)$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}_c = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 i}EB\cosh(Es)\cot(Bs), \quad (52)$$

$f(E,B)$ a being a positive function given by

$$f(E,B) = \Big[4B^6 + 76EB^5 + 258E^2B^4 + 494E^3B^3 + 224E^4B^2 + 12E^5B\Big]^{-1}. \quad (53)$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is given in Appendix (A). ■

Remark that the quantity $\sigma(\theta_0,E,B)$ leads to the divergence in the limit where $B = 0$ and in the limit where $\theta_0 = 0$. This expression do not contribute to the physical solution and then the trace of $\mathcal{O}$ is reduced to $\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O} = \big(1-\wp\big)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}_c$.

Theorem 2. *The vacuum-vacuum transition probability is $|Z(A)|^2 = \exp\Big[-\int dx\,\omega_{3+1}(x)\Big]$ where*

$$\omega_{3+1}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 i}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\left[\Big(1-\wp\Big)EB\coth(Es)\cot(Bs) - \frac{1}{s^2}\right] \quad (54)$$

whose real part, denoted by $\Re_e\omega(x) = \frac{\omega+\omega*}{2}$, is given by

$$\Re_e\omega_{3+1}(x) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2 i}\int_{-\infty}^\infty ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\left[\Big(1-\wp\Big)EB\coth(Es)\cot(Bs) - \frac{1}{s^2}\right]$$

$$= -\frac{m^4\wp}{16\pi} + \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}(1-\wp)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\coth\Big(k\pi\frac{B}{E}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{k\pi m^2}{E}\Big). \quad (55)$$

Proof. The proof of this statement is given in the Appendix (B). ■

IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

In this section, we discuss the reported results in the theorems (1) and (2) and provide more comment in the framework of $d+1$ dimensional space-time.

(1) Let

$$U_k = \frac{1}{k}\coth\Big(k\pi\frac{B}{E}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{k\pi m^2}{E}\Big),\ k\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}. \quad (56)$$

We get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big|\frac{U_{k+1}}{U_k}\Big| = \exp\Big(-\frac{\pi m^2}{E}\Big) < 1 \quad (57)$$

and conclude that, the corresponding serie, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}U_k$ is obviously convergent. Recall that, there exist two positive constants a_1 and a_2 such that for $a_1 \leq \wp \leq a_2$, $\theta_0 << 1$. Then there exist the bound on θ in which the solution (55) is well defined.

$$-\frac{m^4a_2}{16\pi} + \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}(1-a_2)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}U_k \leq \Re_e\omega_{3+1}(x) \leq -\frac{m^4a_1}{16\pi} + \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}(1-a_1)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}U_k. \quad (58)$$

(2) Consider $\Re_e\omega_{c,3+1}(x)$ as the probability density provided with the equation (55), in the limit where $\theta\to 0$ i.e.

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\Re_e\omega_{3+1}(x) = \Re_e\omega_{c,3+1}(x). \quad (59)$$

This expression corresponds to the commutative limit derived by Q-G. Lin (see [28]) and given by:

$$\Re_e\omega_{c,3+1}(x) = \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}U_k. \quad (60)$$

We get

$$\Re_e\omega_{3+1}(x) < \Re_e\omega_{c,3+1}(x), \quad (61)$$

and we conclude that the noncommutativity increases the amplitude $|Z(A)|^2$. This shows the importance of noncommutativity at high energy regime in which creation of particle is manifest. The same conclusion can be made in Ref [31] in which, pair production by a constant external field on NC space is also considered. Note that $\Re_e\omega_{3+1}(x) = -\frac{m^4\wp}{16\pi}$ if $E=0$. For $B=0$, we use the taylor expansion (B3) given in appendix (B), and get

$$\Re_e\omega_{3+1}^{(B=0)}(x) = \frac{E^2}{4\pi^3}(1-\wp)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^2}\exp\Big[-\frac{k\pi m^2}{E}\Big] - \frac{m^4\wp}{16\pi}. \quad (62)$$

The commutative limit which correspond to the case where $\wp = 0$ is restored.

(3) In the case of $1+1$ dimension we consider the electric field $\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{e}_x$, with $E>0$ and $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$. The nonvanishing component of the tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is given by $F_{01}=E$. The quantity $B(\theta,A,1,1)$ given in Eq: (18) takes the form

$$B(\theta,A,1,1) = -\frac{m\theta E}{2} + \frac{i\theta\gamma^\mu}{2}\Big[iEA_\mu - E\partial_\mu - iA_\mu EX\partial_1 - \partial_1A_\mu\partial_0 + \partial_\mu(EX)\partial_1\Big]. \quad (63)$$

We remark that the result (63) is also obtained by taking in (23) the magnetic field B to be zero and by deleting the coordinates components Y and Z. Now, refer to (29) the Hermitian operator $\mathcal{X}(\theta)$ is obviously

$$\mathcal{X}_H(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2}\Big[iE^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 + 2E^2\gamma^0\gamma^1XP_1 - 4E^3X^2 + 4E^2XP_0\Big]. \quad (64)$$

We use the following results which are applicable in $1+1$ dimension:

$$\langle\mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{E}{4\pi\sinh(Es)} \quad (65)$$

and

$$\langle\mathbf{x}|e^{isP^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi s}. \quad (66)$$

By performing the same computation in appendix (A) and (B), we come to

$$\Re_e\omega_{1+1}^{(B=0)}(x) = \frac{E}{2\pi}(1-\wp)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\exp\Big(-\frac{k\pi m^2}{E}\Big) - \frac{m^2\wp}{8}. \quad (67)$$

In the limit where $\theta\to 0$ the equation (67) restore the commutative limit given in [28].

(4) Let us discuss the case of $(2+1)$-dimensional space-time. The matrix $(\theta)^{\mu\nu}$ becomes singular and takes the form

$$\theta^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 \\ -\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{68}$$

Then the noncommutativity of space-time is described by the commutation relation

$$[x^0, x^1]_\star = i\theta,\ [x^0, x^2]_\star = 0,\ [x^1, x^2]_\star = 0. \tag{69}$$

Despite this singularity of the matrix $(\theta^{\mu\nu})$, the SW application (13), (14) and (15) are well satified. Therefore using the fact that

$$\langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{(1-i)E}{2(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}\sinh(Es)} \tag{70}$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{x}|e^{isP^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1-i}{4(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \tag{71}$$

we can derive the probability density of particle creation from the vacuum by external constant EM fields. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_e\omega^{(B=0)}_{2+1}(x) &= \frac{E^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4\pi^2}(1-\wp)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{k\pi m^2}{E}\Big) \\ &- \frac{m^3\wp}{8(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

and obviously the limit $\theta = 0$ restore the result of Ref: [28].

(5) Furthermore, the previous investigation [34], devoted to such EM field as $\mathbf{E} = E\cos(t)\mathbf{e_x}$ and $\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{e_x}$, has been also considered here in the framework of the NCFT. Indeed, following step by step the approach displayed earlier in this work, and using the following relation:

$$\langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{-iEB\cos(t)}{16\pi^2\sinh(Es)\sin(Bs)}, \tag{73}$$

after some algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}_{3+1}(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 i}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\Bigg[\Big(1-\wp\Big) \\ \times\frac{EB\cos(t)\cosh[E\cos(t)s]\cot(Bs)}{\sinh(Es)} - \frac{1}{s^2}\Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

We found that the probability density of the pair production of Dirac particle in NC space-time with alternating EM field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_e\widetilde{\omega}_{3+1}(t) &= -\frac{m^4\wp}{16\pi} + \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}(1-\wp) \\ &\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\cos\big(n\pi\cos(t)\big)\coth\Big(n\pi\frac{B}{E}\Big) \\ &\times \exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

from which, in the limit where the NC parameter $\theta = 0$, we recover the formula of Hounkonnou et al [34] i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}(t) &= \frac{EB}{4\pi^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\cos\big(n\pi\cos(t)\big)\coth\Big(n\pi\frac{B}{E}\Big) \\ &\times\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

A more compact form of the relation (75) in the case of arbitrary $D = d+1$-dimensions can be also given in the same way. We get for $B = 0$ the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}_{d+1}(t) &= \big(1-\wp\big)\frac{E^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\cos(t)}{(2\pi)^d}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \\ &\times \cos\Big(\frac{n\pi}{\cos(t)}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big) \\ &- \frac{m^{d+1}\wp}{4(2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Also, by replacing the vector field A_μ by $\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + f_\mu$, where $A_\mu = (-EX, 0, 0, 0)$ and $f_\mu = (-E\sin(x), 0, 0, 0)$ corresponding to the plane wave function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}_{d+1}(x) &= \big(1-\wp\big)\frac{(2E)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\big(1+\cos(x)\big)}{(2\pi)^d}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \\ &\times \cos\Big(n\pi\frac{1+cos(x)}{2}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{2E}\Big) \\ &- \frac{m^{d+1}\wp}{4(2)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

All these results use the computations performed in the Appendices (A) and (B). In the limit where $\theta = 0$, the relations (77) and (78) lead to the results of [34]. See also [26] in which the generalization in arbitrary dimensions is well given in the case of quasiconstant external EM fields.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have considered NC theory of fermionic field interacting with its corresponding boson. We have used the Seiberg-Witten expansion describing the relation between the NC and commutative variables, to compute the probability density of pair production of NC fermions. We have showed that, in the limit where the NC parameter $\theta = 0$,

we recover the result of Qiong-Gui Lin [28]. Our study has highlighted that the noncommutativity of space-time increases the density ω of the probability of pair creation of the fermion particle. Our results can be easily extended to take into account the case where $D = 1 + 1$.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Matteo Smerlak for fruitful discussions and comments that allowed to improve the paper. We also thank all the referees, who have anonymously contributed to the improvement of this document.

D. O. S. research is supported in part by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics (Waterloo) and by the Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences (Toronto). Research at the Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development & Innovation.

E. E. N. and M. N. H works are partially supported by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP, Trieste, Italy) through the Office of External Activities (OEA)-Prj-15. The ICMPA is in partnership with the Daniel Iagolnitzer Foundation (DIF), France.

Appendix A: Proof of Theorem 1

We give the proof of Theorem (1). We have introduced the two quantities: θ_0 and $\wp$, and decompose the NC parameter θ as

$$\theta = \wp\,\theta_0, \quad \text{such that } \theta_0 << 1. \tag{A1}$$

The first step is to re-express $\mathcal{O}_{nc}(\theta)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{nc}(\theta) &= e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}} \int d\mathbf{y}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{y}\rangle\langle\mathbf{y}| - is\big[m^2\theta(B-E) - \mathcal{X}_H(\theta)\big]|\mathbf{x}\rangle. \\
&= \wp\, e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}} \int d\mathbf{k}\, d\mathbf{y}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{y}\rangle\langle\mathbf{y}|is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)|\mathbf{k}\rangle\langle\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \\
&= \wp\, e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}} \int d\mathbf{k}\, d\mathbf{x}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle\big(is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)\big),
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta) &= \Big\{ m^2(E-B) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[iEB\gamma^3\gamma^2 + iE^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 + iB^2\gamma^3\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}i(\gamma^0\gamma^1 + \gamma^3\gamma^2)EB + \gamma^0\gamma^1 EByk_2 \\
&+ 2E^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 xk_1 + \gamma^0\gamma^3(E^2B - EB^2)xy - \gamma^1\gamma^3 EByk_1 - (4E^3 + 3BE^2)x^2 + (2B^3 + B^2E)y^2 \\
&+ (4E^2 + 5EB)xk_0 + (2B^2 + 3EB)yk_3 - 2Bk_0^2 + 2Bk_1^2 + 2Ek_2^2 + 2Ek_3^2\Big]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

The expression (A3) is subdivided into three contributions, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_0 &= m^2(E-B) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[iEB\gamma^3\gamma^2 + iE^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 \\
&+ iB^2\gamma^3\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}i(\gamma^0\gamma^1 + \gamma^3\gamma^2)EB\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\gamma^0\gamma^1 EByk_2 + 2E^2\gamma^0\gamma^1 xk_1 - \gamma^1\gamma^3 EByk_1 \\
&+ (4E^2 + 5EB)xk_0 + (2B^2 + 3EB)yk_3 - 2Bk_0^2 \\
&+ 2Bk_1^2 + 2Ek_2^2 + 2Ek_3^2\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\gamma^0\gamma^3(E^2B - EB^2)xy - (4E^3 + 3BE^2)x^2 \\
&+ (2B^3 + B^2E)y^2\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

We are especially interested in the first order Taylor expansion of the form:

$$is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta) \equiv \exp(is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)) - 1. \tag{A7}$$

Coming back to equation (34), this equation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O} &= (1-\wp)\mathcal{O}_c + \wp\, e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}} \\
&\times \int d\mathbf{k}\, d\mathbf{x}\, \langle \mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle \exp\Big(is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

First we consider the contribution $\exp\left(is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_1\right)$ of $\exp\Big(is\theta_0\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)\Big)$ in (A8) and the integral relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{isx^2}dx = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} & \text{for } s>0 \\ e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} & \text{for } s<0 \end{cases}. \quad \text{(A9)}$$

We get, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_1 &= \int dk_0 \exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{2}\Big(-2Bk_0^2 \\ &+(4E^2+5EB)xk_0\Big)\Big] \\ &= e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{sB\theta_0}}\exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}(4E^2+5EB)^2x^2\Big]\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A10)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_2 &= \int dk_1 \exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{2}\Big(2Bk_1^2+2\gamma^0\gamma^1E^2xk_1 \\ &- \gamma^1\gamma^3EByk_1\Big)\Big] \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{sB\theta_0}}\exp\Big[-\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}(2\gamma^0\gamma^1E^2x \\ &- \gamma^1\gamma^3EBy)^2\Big]\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A11)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_3 &= \int dk_1 \exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{2}\Big(2Ek_2^2+\gamma^0\gamma^1EByk_2\Big)\Big] \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{sE\theta_0}}\exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{16E}E^2B^2y^2\Big]\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A12)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_4 &= \int dk_3 \exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{2}\Big(2Ek_3^2 \\ &+ (2B^2+3EB)yk_3\Big)\Big] \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{sE\theta_0}}\exp\Big[\frac{is\theta_0}{16E}(2B^2+3EB)^2y^2\Big].\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A13)}$$

Using the properties of the gamma matrices and the results of [28] and [34] we get the following:

$$\operatorname{tr} e^{\frac{is}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}} = 4\cosh(Es)\cos(Bs) \quad \text{(A14)}$$

$$\langle\mathbf{x}|e^{is(P+A)^2}|\mathbf{x}\rangle = -\frac{iEB}{16\pi^2\sinh(Es)\sin(Bs)} \quad \text{(A15)}$$

$$\langle x|e^{isP^2}|x\rangle = -\frac{i}{16\pi^2s^2}. \quad \text{(A16)}$$

We can evaluate the trace of relevant quantities in equation (A8). On the other hand, before obtaining (50), we compute the trace of $\int d\mathbf{k}\,\exp\left[is\theta_0\langle\mathbf{k}|\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)|\mathbf{k}\rangle\right]$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d\mathbf{x}\int d\mathbf{k}\,\exp\left[is\theta_0\langle\mathbf{k}|\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)|\mathbf{k}\rangle\right] \\ &= \exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_0\Big]\int dtdx\,dydz\prod_{j=1}^{4}\mathcal{K}_j\exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_2\Big].\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A17)}$$

This is obtained by using the Gaussian integral. Moreover, taking into account the equations (A10), (A11), (A12) and (A13) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\mathcal{K}_j\exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_2\Big] &= \frac{i\pi^2}{s^2\theta_0^2EB}\exp\Big\{\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}(4E^2+5EB)^2x^2-\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}(2\gamma^0\gamma^1E^2x-\gamma^1\gamma^3EBy)^2 \\ &+ \frac{is\theta_0}{16E}E^2B^2y^2+\frac{is\theta_0}{16E}(2B^2+3EB)^2y^2+\frac{is\theta_0}{2}\Big[\gamma^0\gamma^3(E^2B-EB^2)xy \\ &- (4E^3+3BE^2)x^2+(2B^3+B^2E)y^2\Big]\Big\}.\end{aligned} \quad \text{(A18)}$$

In this relation, the quantity

$$\exp\left\{\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}\Big[(4E^2+5EB)^2x^2-4E^4x^2+8B\gamma^0\gamma^3(E^2B-EB^2)xy-8B(4E^3+3BE^2)x^2\Big]\right\} \quad \text{(A19)}$$

contributes to the integration respect to x. We get after integration:

$$e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{16B\pi}{s\theta_0(12E^4+8E^3B+E^2B^2)}}\exp\Big[is\theta_0\frac{B(E^2B-EB^2)^2y^2}{(12E^4+8E^3B+E^2B^2)}\Big]. \quad \text{(A20)}$$

Consider (A20), the expression

$$\exp\Big\{is\theta_0\frac{B(E^2B-EB^2)^2y^2}{(12E^4+8E^3B+E^2B^2)}+\frac{is\theta_0}{16B}E^2B^2y^2+\frac{is\theta_0}{16E}E^2B^2y^2+\frac{is\theta_0}{16E}(2B^2+3EB)^2y^2$$
$$+\frac{is\theta_0}{2}(2B^3+B^2E)y^2\Big\}$$
$$=\exp\Big\{is\theta_0\frac{E(4B^6+76EB^5+258E^2B^4+494E^3B^3+224E^4B^2+12E^5B)y^2}{16(12E^4+8E^3B+E^2B^2)}\Big\} \tag{A21}$$

contributes to the integration with respect to y. We get after integration:

$$e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{16\pi(12E^4+8E^3B+E^2B^2)}{s\theta_0E(4B^6+76EB^5+258E^2B^4+494E^3B^3+224E^4B^2+12E^5B)}}. \tag{A22}$$

Consider $f(E,B)$ as positive defined function given by the following:

$$f(E,B)=\Big[4B^6+76EB^5+258E^2B^4+494E^3B^3+224E^4B^2+12E^5B\Big]^{-1}. \tag{A23}$$

Hence, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\int dx\,dy\prod_{j=1}^{4}\mathcal{K}_j\exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_2\Big]=-\frac{16\pi^3}{s^3EB\theta_0^3}\sqrt{\frac{B}{E}f(E,B)}. \tag{A24}$$

We choose the subset $M\in\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\int\frac{dt}{t_0}dz=b$, (For example M could be the unit disk of $\mathbb{R}^2$). We come to

$$\int dt\,dz\,dx\,dy\int d\mathbf{k}\left[is\theta_0\langle\mathbf{k}|\mathcal{G}(E,B,\theta)|\mathbf{k}\rangle\right]$$
$$=-\frac{16\pi^3b}{s^3EB\theta_0^3}\sqrt{\frac{B}{E}f(E,B)}\exp\Big[is\theta_0\mathcal{G}_0\Big], \tag{A25}$$

with $\int\frac{dt}{t_0}dz=b\equiv[L^2]$. Finally the following expression is obviously satisfied:

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}=\Big(1-\wp-\sigma(\theta_0,E,B)\Big)\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}_c, \tag{A26}$$

where

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{O}_c=\frac{1}{4\pi^2i}EB\cosh(Es)\cot(Bs). \tag{A27}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

Appendix B: Proof of Theorem 2

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem (2). To evaluate the integral (54) before getting (55), we need to collect information about physical property in the limit where the magnetic field B tends to zero. This is clearly given in [28]. However, we think that it may be instructive to collect here all the arguments and rewrite the complete proof for our purpose. All the integral will be performed in the half complex plane. We will select only the positive half plane. Consider first the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s^3}$. Using the residue theorem, we simply get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s^3}=-i\pi\frac{m^4}{2}. \tag{B1}$$

Let us consider $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\coth(Es)\cot(Bs)$. Let $h(z)=\frac{e^{izm^2}}{z}\coth(Ez)\cot(Bz)$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$. The integrand has singularities at point $z=0$ (poles of order 3), at $z=\frac{in\pi}{E}$ and $z=\frac{n\pi}{B}$ (simple poles). Let $Res(z_0)$ be the residue of $h(z)$ at the point $z_0\in\mathbb{C}$. We write the Taylor expansion of $\cot(z)$ and $\coth(z)$ at point z_0 as

$$\cot(z) = \frac{1}{z-z_0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k2^{2k}\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}(z-z_0)^{2k-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{z-z_0}-\frac{z-z_0}{3}-\frac{(z-z_0)^3}{45}+\cdots \tag{B2}$$

and

$$\coth(z) = \frac{1}{z-z_0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{2k}\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}(z-z_0)^{2k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z-z_0} + \frac{z-z_0}{3} - \frac{(z-z_0)^3}{45} + \cdots \quad \text{(B3)}$$

where B_n stands for the Bernoulli numbers with the initial values ($B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -1/2$, $B_2 = 1/6$, $B_4 = -1/30$, $B_{2n-1} = 0, n = 2, 3, \cdots$). After taking into account the Taylor expansion of $\coth(Ez)\cot(Bz)$ in the equations (B2) and (B3), and the fact that $\cot(iz) = -i\tanh(z)$, we get simply

$$Res(0) = -\frac{m^4}{2BE}, \quad \text{(B4)}$$

$$Res\Big(\frac{n\pi}{B}\Big) = \frac{1}{n\pi}\exp\Big(im^2\frac{n\pi}{B}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi E}{B}\Big) \quad \text{(B5)}$$

and

$$Res\Big(\frac{in\pi}{E}\Big) = -\frac{1}{\pi n}\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi B}{E}\Big). \quad \text{(B6)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\coth(Es)\cot(Bs)\\ &= 2i\pi\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big[\frac{1}{n\pi}\exp\Big(im^2\frac{n\pi}{B}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi E}{B}\Big)\\ &-\frac{1}{n\pi}\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi B}{E}\Big)\Big]\\ &-i\pi\frac{m^4}{2BE}\end{aligned} \quad \text{(B7)}$$

By multiplying the above result by EB it is clear that the limit $B \to 0$ is not well defined. This is why (B5) cannot be taken into account in the physical situation. Therefore (B7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\,\frac{e^{ism^2}}{s}\coth(Es)\cot(Bs)\\ &= -i\pi\frac{m^4}{2BE} - 2i\pi\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big[\frac{1}{n\pi}e^{-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}}\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi B}{E}\Big)\Big].\end{aligned} \quad \text{(B8)}$$

Now let $k(z) = \frac{e^{izm^2}}{z^4}\coth(Ez)\cot(Bz)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The integrand has singularities at point $z = 0$ (poles of order 6), at $z = \frac{in\pi}{E}$ and $z = \frac{n\pi}{B}$ (simple poles). Using the same argument like (B4), (B5) and (B6) we get, respectively,

$$Res(0) = \frac{im^{10}}{120BE}, \quad \text{(B9)}$$

$$Res\Big(\frac{n\pi}{B}\Big) = \frac{B^3}{(n\pi)^4}\exp\Big(im^2\frac{n\pi}{B}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi E}{B}\Big) \quad \text{(B10)}$$

and

$$Res\Big(\frac{in\pi}{E}\Big) = \frac{iE^3}{(\pi n)^4}\exp\Big(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{E}\Big)\coth\Big(\frac{n\pi B}{E}\Big). \quad \text{(B11)}$$

Now we come to the interpretation of the equations (B9), (B10) and (B11).

- The equations (B9) and (B11) lead to a complex probability density and then cannot be taken into account.
- As we have seen in (B5), the equation (B10) leads to a singularity at the limit $B \to 0$. This pointless expression also will not contribute to $\Re_e(\omega)$.

The same analysis can be provided, using the holomorphic function

$$\frac{\coth(Ez)\cot(Bz)}{z^4}\exp\Big[iz(m^2 + \theta_0\mathcal{G}_0)\Big].$$

Taking into account the above two comments, we conclude that the function $\sigma(\theta_0, E, B)$ do not contribute to the probality density, and therefore the parameter θ_0 disappears in the equation (55). Finally, by taking into account only the relation (B8), the Theorem (2) is proved.

[1] H. S. Snyder, "Quantized space-time," Phys. Rev. **71**, 38 (1947).
[2] A. Connes, "Noncommutative Geometry," ISBN-9780121858605.
[3] A. Connes and J. Lott, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **18B**, 29 (1991).
[4] S. L. Woronowicz, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto **23**, 117 (1987).
[5] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, Commun. Math. Phys. **172**, 187 (1995) [hep-th/0303037].
[6] S. Majid, Lect. Notes Phys. **541**, 227 (2000) [hep-th/0006166].
[7] R. J. Szabo, Phys. Rept. **378**, 207 (2003) [hep-th/0109162].
[8] A. Banburski and L. Freidel, arXiv:1308.0300 [gr-qc].
[9] A. F. Ferrari, H. O. Girotti and M. Gomes, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 047703 (2006) [hep-th/0510108].
[10] S. 'I. Imai and N. Sasakura, JHEP **0009**, 032 (2000)

[hep-th/0005178].
[11] J. M. Carmona, J. L. Cortes, J. Gamboa and F. Mendez, Phys. Lett. B **565**, 222 (2003) [hep-th/0207158].
[12] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **108**, 30 (2002) [Phys. Part. Nucl. **33**, S6 (2002)] [Lect. Notes Phys. **616**, 294 (2003)] [hep-th/0110057].
[13] G. Berrino, S. L. Cacciatori, A. Celi, L. Martucci and A. Vicini, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 065021 (2003) [hep-th/0210171].
[14] M. Raasakka and A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 125004 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4531 [hep-th]].
[15] Y. Liao and C. Dehne, Eur. Phys. J. C **29**, 125 (2003) [hep-ph/0211425].
[16] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142].
[17] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp and J. Wess, Eur. Phys. J. C **16**, 161 (2000) [hep-th/0001203].
[18] J. Madore and L. A. Saeger, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 811 (1998) [gr-qc/9708053].
[19] S. Fidanza, JHEP **0206**, 016 (2002) [hep-th/0112027].
[20] K. Ulker, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **13**, 191 (2012) [arXiv:1201.2192 [hep-th]].
[21] K. Ulker and B. Yapiskan, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065006 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0506 [hep-th]].
[22] H. Bozkaya, P. Fischer, H. Grosse, M. Pitschmann, V. Putz, M. Schweda and R. Wulkenhaar, Eur. Phys. J. C **29**, 133 (2003) [hep-th/0209253].
[23] B. Harms and O. Micu, J. Phys. A **40**, 10337 (2007) [hep-th/0610081].
[24] F. G. Scholtz, B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay and J. Govaerts, J. Phys. A **38**, 9849 (2005) [cond-mat/0509331 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
[25] J. S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **73**, 416 (1948).
[26] S. P. Gavrilov and D. M. Gitman, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 7162 (1996) [hep-th/9603152].
[27] S. P. Gavrilov and D. M. Gitman, arXiv:1101.4243 [hep-th].
[28] Q. -G. Lin, J. Phys. G **25**, 17 (1999) [hep-th/9810037].
[29] Q. -G. Lin, J. Phys. G **25**, 1793 (1999) [hep-th/9909045].
[30] Q. -G. Lin, J. Phys. G **26**, L17 (2000) [hep-th/0002178].
[31] N. Chair and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Phys. Lett. B **504**, 141 (2001) [hep-th/0009037].
[32] E. Brezin and C. Itzykson, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1191 (1970).
[33] T. C. Adorno, S. P. Gavrilov and D. M. Gitman, arXiv:1409.7742 [hep-th].
[34] M. N. Hounkonnou and M. Naciri, J. Phys. G **26**, 1849 (2000).
[35] M. N. Hounkonnou and J. E. B. Mendy, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 4240 (1999).
[36] J. Ben Geloun, J. Govaerts and M. N. Hounkonnou, hep-th/0608024.
[37] M. Weyrauch, D. Scholz, J. Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 1558-1565 (2009).
[38] F. Casas, A. Murua, M. Nadinic J. Comput. Phys. Commun. **183**, 2386-2391 (2012).